



## Sample Pages

Hauke Lengsfeld, Felipe Wolff-Fabris, Johannes Krämer, Javier Lacalle,  
Volker Altstädt

## Composite Technology

Prepregs and Monolithic Part Fabrication Technologies

Book ISBN: 978-1-56990-599-9

eBook ISBN: 978-1-56990-600-2

**For further information and order see**

[www.hanserpublications.com](http://www.hanserpublications.com) (in the Americas)

[www.hanser-fachbuch.de](http://www.hanser-fachbuch.de) (outside the Americas)

# Contents

<b>Preface</b> .....	<b>V</b>
<b>Acknowledgements</b> .....	<b>VII</b>
<b>Contents</b> .....	<b>IX</b>
<b>Abbreviations and Important Terms</b> .....	<b>XIII</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<i>Felipe Wolff-Fabris</i>	
1.1 Materials .....	1
1.2 Continuous Fiber Reinforced Plastics .....	4
1.2.1 Property Profile .....	4
1.2.2 Manufacturing .....	6
1.2.3 Applications .....	8
1.3 References .....	9
<b>2 Prepregs and Their Precursors</b> .....	<b>11</b>
<i>Felipe Wolff-Fabris, Hauke Lengsfeld, and Johannes Krämer</i>	
2.1 Structure and Preparation .....	13
2.2 Matrix Systems .....	15
2.3 Fibers and Textiles .....	18
2.4 Prepreg Systems .....	20
2.5 References .....	25
2.6 Additional Information .....	25

<b>3</b>	<b>Prepreg Technology</b> .....	<b>27</b>
	<i>Hauke Lengsfeld and Mike Turner</i>	
3.1	Historical Background .....	27
3.2	Introduction: Manufacturing Methods .....	29
3.2.1	Design of a Prepreg Production Line .....	31
3.2.2	Prepreg Manufacturing Methods .....	31
3.2.2.1	Hot Melt Processes .....	31
3.2.2.2	Solvent Coating/Solvent Dip Method .....	36
3.2.3	Prepreg Variations .....	38
3.2.3.1	Slit Tape .....	38
3.2.3.2	Tow Pregs .....	39
3.2.4	Effect of Manufacturing Parameters .....	39
3.2.4.1	Resin Content .....	40
3.2.4.2	Level of Impregnation .....	41
3.2.4.3	Tack (Tackiness) .....	43
3.3	References .....	45
<b>4</b>	<b>Prepregs: Processing Technology</b> .....	<b>47</b>
	<i>Hauke Lengsfeld and Javier Lacalle</i>	
4.1	Introduction .....	47
4.2	Cutting of Prepregs .....	50
4.2.1	Manual Cutting .....	50
4.2.2	Automated Cutting .....	51
4.3	Hand Lay-up of Prepregs .....	55
4.4	Automated Laying Systems: Automated Tape Laying (ATL) and Automated Fiber Placement (AFP) .....	57
4.4.1	Introduction .....	57
4.4.1.1	Goal of Automated Lay-up Processes .....	59
4.4.1.2	Principle Process Steps of Automated Lay-up Technologies .....	60
4.4.1.3	Prepregs for Automated Lay-up Processes .....	64
4.4.1.4	Machine Architecture .....	68
4.4.2	Automatic Tape Laying (ATL) .....	72
4.4.2.1	Introduction .....	72
4.4.2.2	Prepreg Materials for ATL .....	72
4.4.2.3	General Principle of ATL Processes .....	74
4.4.2.4	ATL Technology and Major Sub-systems .....	76
4.4.2.5	Selection of Machine Configuration for ATL Processes ..	78
4.4.3	Automatic Fiber Placement (AFP) .....	83
4.4.3.1	Introduction .....	83

4.4.3.2	Prepreg Materials for AFP .....	84
4.4.3.3	Governing Principle of AFP Processes .....	85
4.4.3.4	AFP Technology and Relevant Sub-systems .....	87
4.4.4	Productivity and Cost Effectiveness of Lay-up Processes .....	95
4.4.4.1	Lay-up Productivity .....	95
4.4.4.2	Cost Effectiveness of Automated Lay-up Processes .....	98
4.5	Pultrusion .....	100
4.6	Hot Drape Forming .....	104
4.7	Same-Qualified-Resin-Transfer-Molding Processes (SQRTM) .....	111
4.8	References .....	113
4.9	Additional Information .....	113
<b>5</b>	<b>Curing Technologies</b> .....	<b>115</b>
	<i>Felipe Wolff-Fabris</i>	
5.1	Fundamentals of the Curing Cycle .....	115
5.2	Autoclave Curing .....	119
5.3	Oven Curing .....	122
5.4	Quickstep™ Technology .....	123
5.5	Press Molding/Forming Processes .....	125
5.6	Induction Processes .....	126
5.7	Microwave Curing .....	127
5.8	Electron Beam Curing .....	130
5.9	References .....	134
<b>6</b>	<b>Tooling Technology</b> .....	<b>135</b>
	<i>Hauke Lengsfeld and Hilmar Apmann</i>	
6.1	Requirements .....	136
6.2	Tooling Materials .....	138
6.2.1	Metals .....	139
6.2.2	Fiber Composite Materials GFRP/CFRP .....	141
6.2.3	Other Materials .....	145
6.3	Manufacture of Tools .....	146
6.3.1	Direct Manufacturing of Forming Tools .....	147
6.3.2	Indirect Manufacturing of Forming Tools .....	148
6.4	Tooling Concepts and Examples .....	151
6.4.1	Hot Forming Tools .....	151
6.4.2	Curing Molds .....	153

6.4.2.1	Open Mold Curing Tools .....	157
6.4.2.2	Closed Mold Curing Tools .....	158
6.4.3	Release Agents and Cleaning .....	158
6.5	Additional Information .....	159
<b>7</b>	<b>Testing of Prepregs .....</b>	<b>161</b>
	<i>Johannes Krämer</i>	
7.1	Characterization of the Uncured Prepreg .....	162
7.1.1	Prepreg Areal Weight, Resin Content, and Fiber Areal Weight ...	162
7.1.2	Resin Flow .....	162
7.1.3	Tack .....	164
7.1.4	Drapability .....	165
7.1.5	Volatiles .....	165
7.1.6	Viscosity .....	166
7.1.7	Water Pickup Test (WPU Test) .....	168
7.1.8	Microscopy Cut Edge Test .....	168
7.2	Characterization of the Cured Prepreg .....	169
7.2.1	Fiber Volume Content .....	169
7.2.2	Determination of Glass Transition Temperature .....	171
7.2.3	Cured Ply Thickness (CPT) .....	172
7.3	References .....	173
<b>8</b>	<b>Design and Production .....</b>	<b>175</b>
	<i>Hauke Lengsfeld</i>	
8.1	Design Concepts .....	175
8.1.1	Positive/Negative Design .....	175
8.1.2	Integral/Differential Design .....	177
8.1.3	Open Mold Concepts .....	180
8.2	Determining Factors and Interactions .....	185
8.2.1	Determining Factors during Component Manufacturing .....	185
8.2.2	Interrelations during Component Manufacturing .....	187
8.3	References .....	196
	<b>Conclusion .....</b>	<b>197</b>
	<b>The Authors .....</b>	<b>199</b>
	<b>Index .....</b>	<b>201</b>

# Preface

Prepregs have famous predecessors, such as the plywood found in 3400 BC in ancient Mesopotamia. The Mesopotamians realized that glueing wood at different angles provided natural wood with better properties. Also papyrus, an early type of paper made from the stem of the papyrus plant, has a visible, fibrous, unidirectional reinforcement and was used in ancient Egypt (2500 BC) as a writing surface. Although long declared obsolete, prepregs have gained increasing popularity in all segments of the composite industry because of their versatility, high fiber volume content, and large variety of fiber-matrix combinations.

Beginning in the early 1970s until today, structural carbon fiber/plastic components have been manufactured using prepreg technology. The authors therefore felt that it was time to provide a current overview of trends and perspectives relating to this technology.

Thermosetting prepregs are manufactured by impregnating reinforcing fibers or textiles with custom formulated, pre-catalyzed resin systems. The machines and technology used for this impregnation facilitate close contact between fiber and matrix and a defined surface tack of the manufactured tapes. These prepregs are used to manufacture composite components faster and with less performance loss than can be achieved with similar wet-impregnation technologies. Covered with pliable carrier paper, the prepregs are easy to handle and remain flexible for a certain period of time at room temperature.

Globally, the market for prepregs has grown by 10% since 2012; in fact, it doubled in size in the period between 2002 and 2007 (see Lucintel's Global Prepreg Market 2008–2013: Trends, Forecast and Opportunity Analysis). Although the operational costs of manufacturing prepregs are often higher than those of traditional materials, their use may provide significant cost advantages when considering their weight-specific properties.

This book covers the important advances made in research and development, both in academic and industrial laboratories. It identifies the fundamental relationships between material structure, processing, and material properties. Looking ahead, the book introduces significant developments of modern prepreg technology.

After a general introduction into the world of fiber reinforced materials (Chapter 1), the fundamental prepreg components are introduced in Chapter 2. The following two chapters describe how prepreg materials are produced and subsequently used to manufacture preforms (Chapters 3 and 4). Chapters 5 and 6 explain how these preforms are cured into final components using autoclaves, ovens, or Quickstep technology. They also illustrate the technologies of the respective curing tooling. The cured components are subsequently tested and then typically assembled to form complex structures. Chapters 7 and 8 highlight the specific characteristics to be considered when using prepreg technologies.

The book aims at providing a comprehensive, application-oriented overview to the expert that not only clearly introduces the current state of the technology, but also illustrates its historic development.

Bayreuth, July 2015


*Volker Altstädt*

sophisticated technologies. Starting from a few selected materials and fiber composites, which were produced in very labor intensive, manual processes, today a variety of industries, including the aerospace and automotive industries, rely on low-weight, stiff, high-performance composites that could not be produced if it were not for prepreg materials and fully automated processes.

## ■ 3.2 Introduction: Manufacturing Methods

Prepregs, as semi-finished products containing pre-impregnated fibers (Figure 3.1), are a prerequisite for high quality and load-optimized lightweight fiber composites. The current manufacturing techniques and processes guarantee consistent quality of the prepregs, e. g., in terms of FAW and resin content, at a very high level. On the one hand, this simplifies processing for the manufacturer, and on the other it allows the reproducible production of high-quality components.

Prepregs always consist of a combination of a typically highly viscous matrix and a fiber reinforcement. Once the reinforcing material has been pre-impregnated with a matrix, it is considered a prepreg material. Both thermoplastic and thermosetting materials (reactive resin systems) can be used as matrices (see also Chapter 2) [2]. In the following, we will discuss the production of thermoset prepregs.



**Figure 3.1** Delivery form of UD prepreg (left) and fabric prepreg (right) on supports [Courtesy: © Hexcel Corporation]

Fiber reinforcements are supplied in a number of different forms:

- uni-, bi-, and multidirectional fabrics
- fabrics
- non-wovens and random fiber mats



Depending on the reinforcing structure, processing techniques include creel sets (for rovings) and roll unwinders for fabrics.

Today, a number of different methods and machine concepts are available for the production of coating lines. They are able to use different fiber materials and combine them with a wide selection of matrix resins. State-of-the-art technologies include:


- solution coating processes (also called dipping (solvent) processes) (Figure 3.2)
- hot melt processes (Figure 3.2)
- knife systems
- powder scattering
- slot die systems

Some processes, such as powder scattering, were initially developed for thermoplastic matrices, but later adapted to thermoset processing. However, these processes have not found widespread industrial-scale use. Today, there are two major impregnating methods in industrial practice: the dipping (solvent) process and the hot melt process [2].



Not only do the base materials require cautious handling, but many parameters, including winding, control, impregnating, and drying, have to be considered and adapted individually depending on the type of reinforcement, the impregnating matrix, and the properties of the final product [1].

Thermoset prepregs are available in four typical variations: UD prepreg, fabric prepreg, slit tape, and tow prepregs.

### Step 1 – Film production



### Step 2 – Film transfer



**Figure 3.2** Manufacturing methods, left: hot melt process; right: solvent process

# 4

# Prepregs: Processing Technology

*Hauke Lengsfeld and Javier Lacalle*

## ■ 4.1 Introduction


In this chapter, we will describe the different technologies used to process prepregs and to transform them into prepreg components. We will introduce both manual and automated deposition methods as well as methods to cut and form prepreg materials.

One of the advantages of fiber reinforced materials is the fact that the fiber reinforcement can be strategically placed in the component to optimize the relationship between mechanical properties and weight.

Manufacturing methods using prepreg are particularly efficient because they achieve highest quality and most accurate fiber placement in the component. This also ensures optimum fiber volume content in the component, because the ratio of resin to fiber has already been coordinated in the prepreg. The layer structure may be deposited by hand or by automated processes.

In general, the processes used to manufacture composites are laminating and deposition processes that use flat, semi-finished products (e. g., prepregs) and deposit them in a specific sequence and in a defined orientation and shape on a mold or tool.

Today, there is a wide variety of processes available. There are two different approaches to classify these processes. First, considering the pressure applied during forming and curing leads to the classification of the most common processes as shown in Figure 4.1:



**Figure 4.1** Curing and forming processes for prepregs [Courtesy: © Hexcel Corporation]

An alternative perspective considers the deposition and processing technologies that are used to process prepregs (Figure 4.2). This approach also includes the subsequent process of manufacturing a fiber reinforced composite part and provides a differentiated classification in the various technologies. While the pressure-oriented approach (see Figure 4.1) often includes the curing process, it is deliberately separated from the deposition and processing technologies. Curing is considered a separate step and thus includes the pressure processes shown in Figure 4.1.

Direct labeling of the finished cuts during cutting (whether manual or by cutter) prevents the interchange of cuttings during lamination. In addition, nowadays laser positioning systems are used that project the exact position and form of individual cuts on the lay-up area. These systems also prevent turning of cuttings and lay-up in the wrong orientation. The disadvantage of using templates becomes apparent when many different-sized cuts require the same high number of templates. With larger cuts, templates tend to become rather unwieldy. Another problem with hand lay-up of prepreg cuts is the sheer number of prepreg cuts necessary for the manufacture of complex components, which can reach several hundreds. This results in an additional logistics problem, especially when the cuts cannot be stored at room temperature but have to be kitted and frozen for storage.

Several parameters, including size, production rate, and the required accuracy and repeatability of the prepreg lay-up (form and positional tolerances) determine whether a component will be manufactured by hand lay-up or by an automated process. On the one hand, hand lay-up processes are time and personnel intensive; on the other hand, the high capital and operating costs of automated processes have to be considered.

In the past (until approx. 2004), large components, such as the vertical tail plane of the Airbus A320 and A330 series, were manufactured by hand lay-up of unidirectional tapes. For large components, the handling, exact positioning, and laying of long prepreg cuts without entrapping air is extremely difficult, and defects in the composite part are therefore hard to avoid. Therefore, the prepreg structure of such large components is typically manufactured using automated systems.

## ■ 4.4 Automated Laying Systems: Automated Tape Laying (ATL) and Automated Fiber Placement (AFP)

### 4.4.1 Introduction

Today, the automated laying of pre-impregnated fiber materials is a key technology for the manufacture of large composite components in the aerospace industry. For a number of years, automated tape laying has been used in conjunction with other technologies, such as hot-forming, to manufacture vertical tail panels, wing structures, stringers, spars, etc. The fast growing use of composite components both in aerospace and automotive applications, together with the increasing complexity of these components, has triggered the continuous growth in use and research of

automated and highly efficient laying technologies. Figure 4.16 and Figure 4.17 show the geometric complexity as well as the size of composite parts.



**Figure 4.16** Carbon fiber reinforced composite fuselage structures  
[Courtesy: Airbus Operations GmbH]

The use of automated tape laying (ATL) and fiber placement (AFP) systems for the processing of UD tapes offers significant quality and productivity advantages compared to hand lay-up processes:

- Ply positioning and repeatability
- Deposition rate
- Uniform and void-free pre-compaction, etc.

Automatic lay-up by ATL and AFP is a widely-used standard process for the production of large parts in the aviation industry, one of the principal customers of prepregs. Both lay-up technologies deposit resin impregnated continuous fibers on the surface of a tool or mold. However, both processes have specific characteristics that make them ideally suited for various applications. Criteria for selecting a specific process include component geometry and manufacturing requirements such as the following:

- Component curvature
- Height and slope of the ramps
- Material drape
- Positive or negative (male or female) tool, etc.

ATL technology is typically used for geometrically simple contours, such as wing skins and flaps, panels for vertical and horizontal tail planes, flat laminates for hot-formed components, etc. AFP technologies are preferred over ATL for geometries with sections of double curvature and variable laminate thickness, such as spars and fuselage panels, etc., owing to their superior flexibility.

Additional important requirements for a tooling are favorable mechanical handling characteristics, such as good demolding and cleaning behavior, the possibility to make surface repairs, and low net weight; some of these are interrelated. The simpler it is to demold a component and to clean the tooling surface, the less wear the tooling is subjected to, and thus the less likely is the need for repairs. Low tooling net weight facilitates not only fast curing, but it also reduces handling costs, such as moving it by crane, rail system, or on a simple roll car. Implementation of a crane system is typically more cost intensive than utilization of a rail system.

Additional economic aspects are tooling manufacturing costs and service life, which depend on the tooling material, its availability, and its durability. The durability of the selected tooling material is of particular importance for autoclave toolings that have to withstand high cyclical temperature and pressure loads in order to avoid premature high wear.

## ■ 6.2 Tooling Materials

A variety of different materials is available for the manufacture of tools for the forming and curing operations of prepregs materials; these materials can also be combined, depending on the area of application.

Table 6.2 provides an overview of common tooling materials that will be described in more detail in the following.

**Table 6.2** Examples of Tooling Materials

Material	Description	Type (examples)
Metals	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Steel</li> <li>▪ Aluminum</li> <li>▪ Nickel-steel alloy (Ni-36)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ S235 JR, S355 JR</li> <li>▪ ALMG 3/3.3535</li> <li>▪ INVAR 36, Pernifer 1.3912</li> </ul>
CFRP (epoxy resin)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Fabric prepreg</li> <li>▪ Quasi-isotropic prepreg mat</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Cycom® 7620,</li> <li>▪ HexTOOL® M81</li> </ul>
CFRP (BMI or BOX resin)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Fabric prepreg</li> <li>▪ Quasi-isotropic prepreg mat</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Duratool® 5270</li> <li>▪ HexTOOL® M61</li> <li>▪ Toolmaster BetaPreg</li> </ul>
CFRP foam	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Carbon foam</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Touchstone CFoam® 20</li> </ul>
GFRP	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Dry fabric + resin, processed by resin infusion or hand lay-up</li> </ul>	
Other materials	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Wood</li> <li>▪ Epoxy tooling boards</li> <li>▪ Cellular concrete</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ OBO-Plywood, RETIstab</li> <li>▪ Necuron, Rampf WB700,</li> <li>▪ OBO-Modulan, TB650 Series</li> <li>▪ Ytong</li> </ul>

### 6.2.1 Metals


Metals are the easiest and most commonly used class of materials for the construction of tools for prepreg processing. This is due to their easy availability as well as their high load capacity. In addition, metal curing tools stand out for their robustness and thus high structural durability so that they can be utilized without difficulty for more than 1000 cycles. The surface of these tools is resistant to organic solvents and release agents, and even damage, such as scratches and dents, can typically be repaired easily.

Steel, aluminum, and ferronickel alloys are generally utilized, with steel and aluminum being the materials of choice because of their price and durability. Both materials exhibit a high coefficient of thermal expansion (Table 6.4) that needs to be taken into consideration during design of the tooling, in particular for high curing temperatures, in order to ensure its dimensional accuracy. Depending on tooling and application, thermal expansion may be desired, e.g., in order to facilitate for the finished component to shrink off the tooling during cooling (Chapter 8). Contour accuracy of 0.3 to 0.4 mm, even with large toolings (e.g., 20 × 5 m), can be achieved with metal tools at room temperature.




**Figure 6.3** Steel tooling for the manufacture of rotor blades  
[Courtesy: Premium Aerotec GmbH]

A disadvantage of steel is its high weight, which complicates handling and impedes heat transfer. Metal curing tools are generally manufactured by contour milling, but also by preforming of sheet metal. In order to ensure the created geometry, in particular for a large tooling (e.g., thick profiled sheet), even at high temperatures and/or during handling (e.g., by crane), the geometry is often stabilized by a stiff sub-structure (“egg carton” structure). This sub-structure also facilitates safe handling and installation, e.g., of vacuum lines and hoisting points to lift the tooling via crane.



**Figure 8.29** Consolidation and compaction inside tooling

Thus the inner plies are forced to expand or to perform a relative movement in order to balance the change in length caused by the consolidation. However, they may not always be able to make these adjustments. The applied autoclave pressure (typically 7 to 10 bar) and the bonding between the plies by the prepreg resin render relative movement of individual plies impossible. Because the autoclave pressure is more effective on the plane than on the radii, the inner prepreg plies will bridge the radius to a certain degree, thus changing force progression and load capacity of the component (Figure 8.30). The continuous, inner line marks the actual, the dotted line the required fiber orientation.



**Figure 8.30**  
Micrograph of radius area showing the bridging effect of inner plies


This problem can be mitigated by one of several measures. For one, using a prepreg with a higher level of impregnation may minimize the consolidation. Another approach is the manufacture of a flat prepreg stack via ATL (rather than hand lay-up) and subsequent hot forming that would facilitate a pre-compaction of the stack prior to the curing process. Yet another, although somehow controversial,



method is the use of pressure strips (rubber or silicone corner profiles) in the radii. It is possible to effectively increase the autoclave pressure in this area using pressure intensifiers in the form of round cords. However, they often cause deep undesired indentations in the laminate or the formation of beads in the border area of the pressure strips.


### Interrelations: Example of a Sandwich Structure

The interrelations between component design, material, and curing process and their implications will be described using a sandwich structure as an example.



**Figure 8.31** Effects of design, material, and curing process on component

PMI rigid foams (Evonik) can be used for the manufacture of Omega stringers (Figure 8.32). Typically, the formed foam remains in the component after curing of the prepreg, allowing for one-step curing of stringer and skin segment to be reinforced. The cell walls of certain rigid foams do not exhibit sufficient dimensional stability under the temperatures (typically 180 °C) and pressures (7–10 bar) required for prepreg curing. Therefore, the pressure on the component is reduced to, e.g., 3 bar absolute.



**Figure 8.32**

Example of sandwich design: Omega-stringer with foam core

# Index

## Symbol

1<sup>st</sup>-generation prepregs 20  
2-film process 34  
2<sup>nd</sup>-generation prepregs 20, 43  
3<sup>rd</sup>-generation prepregs 20, 40  
4-film process 34  
4<sup>th</sup>-generation prepregs 20

## A

activation 77, 90  
airpad 155  
ALV 96  
anhydrides 16  
Aquacore 155  
aspect ratio 3  
autoclave curing 119  
automatic fiber placement (AFP) 83  
automatic tape laying (ATL) 72  
average lay-up velocity 96

## B

bismaleimide resins (BMI) 17  
bleeding aid 117  
BMI 138  
bridge 69, 78, 91  
bridging 91  
Brønsted acid 130

## C

CAD-CAM chain 147  
calendering unit 31  
calibration 63  
cantilever 70, 78, 91  
carbon foam 138  
carrier films 68  
carrier paper 73  
cast nickel tooling 141  
cationic polymerization 130  
cellular concrete 145  
CFRP foam  
– carbon foam 138  
CFRP tooling 148  
cleaning 158  
closed mold 153  
closed mold curing 158  
coating unit 31  
coefficient of thermal expansion 139,  
189  
column 70, 78, 91  
comb and spreader bars 33  
compaction 77, 90  
CPT 172  
creel 87  
creel set 31  
cross-linking density 117  
C-spar 177  
CTE 189  
cured ply thickness 14, 172  
– CPT 40  
curing cycle 115, 116

curing mandrel 183  
curing molds 153  
curing technologies 115  
– autoclave 115  
– out-of-autoclave processes 115  
cut edge test 168  
cutting system 76  
– AFP technology 89  
cutting/trimming station 31  
Cycom® 977-2 23  
Cycom® 977-3 23  
Cycom® 997 23

## D

degree of crosslinking 16  
design concept 175  
DFP technology 24  
differential design 177, 179  
direct manufacturing of forming tools  
147  
DMTA 171  
double diaphragm 105  
drapability 66, 165  
Duratool 138  
dynamic mechanical thermal analysis  
171

## E

Ebalta 148  
egg-carton structure  
– sub-structure 139  
electron beam 115, 118  
electron beam curing 130  
epoxy tooling block 145  
epoxy tooling boards 138  
excess resin 118  
exothermic 117

## F

fabric prepreg 13, 29  
ferronickel alloys 140  
fiber areal weight 14, 162

fibers 18  
fiber undulation 19  
fiber volume content 14, 169

## G

gantry 69, 78, 91  
gelation 117  
gel point 118, 166  
glass transition temperature 171  
glycidylated novolacs 16  
guiding system 76  
gusset filler 103

## H

handling characteristics  
– toolings 136  
head change 88  
heat distribution 117  
heating ramp 116, 117  
HexPly® 913 23  
HexPly® 914 23  
HexPly® 8552 23  
HexPly® M21 23  
HexPly® M21E 22, 23  
HexPly® M56 23  
HexPly® M65 23  
HexPly® M77 23  
HexPly® M91 23  
HexPly® M92 23  
HexTOOL 138  
hot drape forming 104  
hot forming tool 151  
hot melt processes 30, 31  
hydrostatic resin pressure 121

## I

impregnation level 41, 192  
indirect manufacturing of forming tools  
148  
induction processes 126  
integral design 177, 178  
integral/differential design 177

interactions 185  
interleaf prepreg 20  
interrelations during component  
  manufacturing 187  
INVAR  
  – Pernifer 138  
isothermal 117, 121

## L

lamina 73

## M

machine architecture 68  
master mold 149  
material guiding system 88  
materials 1  
matrix systems 15  
maximum cutting speed 90  
metals 139  
microwave 118  
microwave curing 127  
multi-step integration 179  
multi-tape 80

## N

NCF 20  
Necuron 138  
negative design 175  
negative mold 176  
negative tooling 137  
nesting 53  
nickel-galvano molds 150  
nickel-galvano tooling 141  
nickel-steel alloy 138  
nomogram 189  
non-crimp fabrics (NCF) 19  
non-thermal 132  
non-wovens and random fiber mats  
  29

## O

OBO-Plywood 138  
offline programming 61  
omega stringer 194  
online cutting 76  
open mold 153  
open mold concepts 180  
open mold curing 157  
out-of-autoclave (OOA) 24  
oven curing 122  
overall lay-up width 93  
overheating 129

## P

phenolic resin 17  
photoinitiators 133  
plain weave 19  
ply book 55, 61  
polyether ether ketone 15  
polyether sulfone 15  
polyethylene film 13  
polypropylene 15  
positive design 175  
positive mold 175  
positive/negative design 175  
positive tooling 137  
pot life 115  
powder scattering 30  
pre-impregnated fibers 13  
prepreg 11  
  – AFP 84  
  – areal weight 162  
  – ATL 72  
  – automated lay-up processes 64  
  – carrier paper 73  
  – drapability 66  
  – impregnation 65  
  – line 31  
  – manufacturing methods 31  
  – release and carrier films 68  
  – rigidity 66  
  – slitting 65, 85  
  – systems 20  
  – tack 85

- tape width 67, 73
- tow width 85
- types 14
- variations 38
- press molding 125
- pressure profile 121
- processing technology 47
- process simulation 61
- productivity 95
  - areal lay-up rate 97
  - average lay-up velocity 96
  - floor-to-floor 98
  - lay-up productivity 95
  - mass productivity 97
  - productivity criteria 99
  - unit price 99
- profile 103
- pultrusion 100
- PVF film 163

## Q

- quasi-isotropic 189
- quasi-isotropic structure 142
- Quickstep™ technology 118, 123

## R

- release agents 158
- release paper 13
- resin content 14, 40, 162
- resin flow 14, 162
- resin viscosity 117
- rigid/soft 157, 179
- rigid/soft variation 180
- robot 71, 79, 92

## S

- sandwich design 178
- sandwich structure 194
- satin weave 19
- scrap 85
- shrink off 139
- single diaphragm 105

- single-diaphragm method 152
- single-step integration 178
- single tape 80
- slit tape 20, 38
- soft/rigid 157, 179
- soft/rigid variation 182
- soft/soft 157
- soft/soft variation 178, 183
- solution coating processes 30
- solution route 165
- solvent coating/solvent dip method 36
- specific Young's modulus 6
- SQRTM 111

## T

- table gantry 70, 79, 91
- tack 43, 85, 164
- tacky tape 153
- tape preparation 77
- tape width 67, 73, 80
- textiles 18
- thermal characteristics
  - toolings 136
- thermoset prepreg 29
- time-transition-temperature 166
- tooling contours 137
- tooling materials 138
- tooling technology 135
- tool manufacture 146
- Toolmaster BetaPreg 138
- Toray® 3911 22, 23
- total lay-up width 81
- tow preg 20, 38, 39
- tow width 85, 93
- TTT-diagrams 166
- twill weave 19

## U

- unidirectional prepreg 27

**V**

vacuum bag 119  
viscosity 166  
volatile content 165  
volatiles 165

**W**

water pickup 42  
water pickup test 168  
winding station 31

**Z**

zero-bleed prepreg 14, 118