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Plastics are everywhere in our lives these days and accompany us throughout the day: the toothbrush and toothpaste in the morning; the windscreen wiper, seat, or window lifter of the car on the way to work; the keyboard at work; or the wrapping film over our vegetables at the grocery store. As these examples show, plastics have very different purposes and uses. While they often save us weight in technical applications and thus reduce fuel consumption, there are also many everyday objects whose use should be reconsidered again and again with regard to their entire life cycle. If, for example, the function, weight, or durability has been improved, their use is often advantageous due to the positive influence on the entire life cycle. However, if the use has more negative than positive consequences, alternatives should be considered already in the design phase. Despite the countless innovations that have been made possible by plastics and will continue to be realized in the future, the sustainable use of this valuable material is indispensable.

If the use of plastic proves to be the best option, reuse and repair should be considered as the next option. We are a long way from this in industrial use, but innovative solutions are also conceivable here. According to the motto “reduce, reuse, recycle”, the recycling of plastic waste should only be the third option. This does not mean, however, that recycling is unimportant. The recycling of plastic waste is gaining in importance day by day and has now also come into the focus of the general public. This is mainly due to the alarming figures for plastic waste in the oceans. At present, more than 8 million tons of plastics are discharged into the oceans every year—and this number will increase if we do not change the way we handle plastic waste. Awareness of these catastrophic effects has already led to a change in public thinking and the use of plastic bags when shopping is now as absurd as disposing of a toothbrush after a single use.

For this reason, our book shows what unused potential lies in the recycling of plastics—from an ecological, economic, and technological point of view. Our focus is on the recycling of packaging waste. Plastics currently represent a great challenge—especially for the environment—and their recycling offers all the more opportunities. In addition, the non-reuse of plastics is equivalent to the loss of crude oil and is therefore also considered from this point of view.
To illustrate this potential, the book starts with a general overview of waste treatment strategies for plastics in the United States, and discusses the importance of plastic waste and some insights into how consumer behavior could be positively affected (Chapters 1 and 2). Chapter 3 focuses on the technical aspects and different processes of plastics recycling. In separate chapters, the economic (Chapter 4) and ecological properties (Chapter 5) of different waste treatment strategies for plastics are compared and evaluated. The analysis shows the potential of plastics recycling and the necessary boundary conditions for an increase in the recycling rate. Therefore, different scenarios for increasing the profitability of recycling are analyzed in Chapter 6. Last but not least, Chapter 7 presents the global potential for waste treatment and, in particular, plastics recycling using the examples of Europe and China.

We hope that with our book we can show you the importance and opportunities that the recycling of plastics offers and how we can all together play a role in making our world a little bit better—whether as decision-makers in a large company, when doing your weekly shopping in the supermarket, or when disposing of waste. Because, as you will discover in the course of reading this book: even the small things can have a huge effect!

We would like to thank everyone who supported us in writing and extending the second edition of this book. Special thanks to Sebastian Goris for adding his expertise in the area of fiber-reinforced plastics to the third chapter of this book.

The Authors
Aachen/Bangkok/Selb, July 2020
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3.1.2 Chemical Recycling

Chemical recycling is used for cross-linked polymers or for thermoplastic polymers if no sufficient quality can be achieved using mechanical recycling. Chemical processes are used to convert the polymer chains to low molecular weight compounds or, in some cases, the original plastic monomer (feedstock). The monomers can be used for polymerization to generate the original polymer again, whereas the low molecular weight compounds are used as feedstock for the petrochemical industry. Common processes for this recycling method are hydrolysis, hydrocracking, pyrolysis, and depolymerization. Because of the large amounts of energy and chemicals consumed by the currently available processes, chemical recycling is only economically and ecologically reasonable for a very limited number of polymers such as polymethyl methacrylate (PMMA) and polyether ether ketone (PEEK). Chemical recycling of polyethylene terephthalate (PET) has been successfully developed. However, it is hindered by the processing cost. Furthermore, the chemical processing has been proven to be technically possible for polyolefins but is still in the laboratory stage of development. This is a fast growing research area, where significant breakthroughs can be expected in the next decade. [3, 4, 6, 7, 8]

3.2 Recycling Different Types of Plastic Waste

As mentioned before, plastic waste can be divided into preconsumer waste (manufacturing scrap) and postconsumer waste (recovered waste). These different plastic waste types are recycled differently.

3.2.1 Preconsumer Waste

3.2.1.1 Manufacturing Scrap
Preconsumer waste, such as runners, gates, sprues, and trimming, is normally recycled using primary mechanical recycling. It is ground and remelted in-house.

3.2.1.2 Dilution Effect
Manufacturing scrap is often mixed into virgin material to reduce material cost while at the same time minimizing the effects of degradation on part performance. Depending on the mixing ratio, either the virgin material is diluted with regrind or the regrind is refreshed with virgin material. By using a constant mixing ratio
during continuous processing, the regrind waste itself is diluted by material that has been reprocessed once, twice, three times, etc. The composition of a material with a proportion of recyclate $q$ after $n$ processing cycles can be calculated using Equation 3.1.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} q^{n-i} (1 - q) = 1$$  

3.1

For small proportions of recyclate, the regrind material contains only minimal amounts of material that has passed through a large number of processing cycles and therefore is highly degraded.

Figure 3.1 shows the composition of material with different mixing ratios of recycled and virgin material. The first column shows 30% recycled and 70% virgin material. Under these conditions, the regrind material contains less than 0.8% of material that has been reprocessed five times or more. Seventy percent of the material is virgin material, 21% has been processed once, 6.3% twice, and 1.9% three times. As proportions of material smaller than 1% do not have a significant influence on the material properties and can be neglected [9], the properties will be dominated by fractions that have been processed four times or less. Thus, it can be concluded that the properties of a material with small amounts of recyclate will not fall below a certain level. [10]

![Figure 3.1 Composition of recycled plastic material after n reprocessing steps for 30%, 50%, and 70% recycled material](image-url)
However, regrind material with high proportions of recyclate contains significant amounts of highly degraded material, as can be seen in the right column in Figure 3.1, in which 70% of the regrind is recycled and 30% is virgin material. This regrind material contains 5.0% material that has been reprocessed five times, as well as 30% that is virgin material, 21% that has been processed once, 14.7% twice, 10.3% three times, and 7.2% four times. After nine processing cycles, the material still contains 1.2% of the initial material. Although this mix contains significant portions of highly degraded material, after 10 reprocessing cycles the material reaches a steady state in which performance properties are not affected anymore by further processing. Therefore, this mixing ratio is used quite frequently for packaging products, e.g., PET containers.

### 3.2.2 Postconsumer Waste

Consumer plastics are largely made from six different polymer resins, which are indicated by a number, or resin code, from 1 to 7 molded or embossed onto the surface of the plastic product. The number 7 indicates any polymer other than those numbered 1 to 6. Table 3.1 lists the polymer resins, their resin codes, and the general applications for virgin and recycled plastics made from these resins. The percentages of the different types of postconsumer plastic waste in municipal solid waste (MSW) in the United States in 2017 are given in Table 2.1. [11]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resin Symbol and Plastic Type</th>
<th>Products Created from Virgin Plastics</th>
<th>Products Created from Recycled Plastics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01 PET</td>
<td>Bottles for water, soft drinks, salad dressing, peanut butter, and vegetable oil</td>
<td>Egg cartons, carpet, and fibers and fabric for T-shirts, fleeces, tote bags, shoes, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyethylene terephthalate</td>
<td>Milk and juice cartons, detergent containers, shower gel bottles, and shipping containers</td>
<td>Toys, pails, drums, traffic barrier cones, fencing, and trash cans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02 HDPE</td>
<td>Packaging materials, plastic pipes, decking, wire and cable products, blood bags, and medical tubing</td>
<td>Shoe soles, construction material, and boating and docking bumpers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyvinyl chloride</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.4.2 Plastic Reprocessing Costs

After PET is baled in the MRF, the bales are transported to a plastic reprocessing facility, where they are further treated, as schematically presented in Figure 4.3.

From the tipping floor, PET bales are grabbed by a loader and laid into a metering bin, which constantly meters the plastic waste into a bale breaker. The bale breaker dismembers the PET bales into individual free flowing items (e.g., food containers and bottles). [49, 50]

The individual items are conveyed to a washing station. After a short prewashing to remove labels and dirt from the outside of the items and a manual hand sorting of contaminants, PET items are ground into flakes by a wet granulator. These ground flakes are transported to a silo for hot washing, which removes the last dirt and glue. In a final step at the washing station, these clean flakes are dried. [49, 50]
Chapter 5 concluded that recycling is the best option for handling plastic waste from an environmental point of view and can significantly contribute to minimizing air, soil, and marine pollution.

But, as presented in Chapters 2, 3, and 4, there are two central issues with recycling: on the one hand, only 9% of plastic waste in the United States is recycled at the moment due to technical limitations (see Chapter 3) and, on the other hand, recycling is currently unprofitable from an economic point of view due to low oil prices (see Chapter 4). Recycling and selling 1 t of recycled plastic results in a loss of more than $10.

To improve both profitability and recycling rate, two process optimization possibilities are presented in this chapter.

### 6.1 Optimization I: Reduction of Sorting Processes

The first process optimization proposed is reducing the number of sorting processes. Therefore, the so-called *dual-stream recycling* would need to be implemented. Dual-stream recycling means that the plastic waste is directly separated by consumers in their households, which is similar to systems established in Europe (see Section 7.1). Consequently, the sorting process in the materials recovery facility (MRF) is not required anymore. The optimized process is shown in Figure 6.1. [1]
To calculate the profitability of the optimized process, the original profitability calculation of the plastic recycling process is used as a basis. The costs of polyethylene terephthalate (PET) processing as well as the revenues realized by selling recycled PET remain unchanged. Processing 1 t of plastic waste costs $72.37 and the revenues for sale of 1 t of recycled plastic are $146.94. But to handle plastic in the same facility, additional machines and processes need to be installed. The additional costs are split up in two main categories: investment costs (1) and operation and maintenance costs (2). The assumptions for this optimization are shown in Table 6.1 and in more detail in Table 8.21 in the Appendix.

### Table 6.1 Optimization I: Assumptions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lifetime [years]</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yearly working hours [h]</td>
<td>6,240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yearly plastic waste handling [t]</td>
<td>100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total plastic waste capacity (10 years) [t]</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yearly PET capacity [t]</td>
<td>15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total PET waste capacity (10 years) [t]</td>
<td>150,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Separation efficiency [%]</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Additional investment costs are split up in building and site, machine, and equipment costs. To handle plastic waste in only one facility, additional land, site work, and buildings as well as a scale house are required. These building and site costs
amount to $1,775,000. Furthermore, three new machines need to be installed: a metering bin, an optical PET sorting machine, and a baler. The investment costs of all machines add up to $925,000. For additional conveyors, rolling stock, and waste collection cars, total costs are $1,250,000. As presented in Table 6.2, total additional investment costs are **$3,950,000** (see also Table 8.22 in the Appendix). [2, 3, 4]

**Table 6.2** Optimization I: Additional Investment Costs

| Additional building and site investment costs [$] | 1,775,000 |
| Additional machine investment costs [$] | 925,000 |
| Additional equipment investment costs [$] | 1,250,000 |
| **Total additional investment costs [$]** | **3,950,000** |

Additional operating and maintenance costs are salaries of the additional personnel, operating and maintenance costs of the machines and the rolling stock, and especially transportation and collection costs. Yearly operating and maintenance costs are **$5,713,797**, so overall **$57,137,976**, as presented in Table 6.3 and in more detail in Table 8.23 in the Appendix. [3, 5, 6, 7, 8]

**Table 6.3** Optimization I: Additional Operating and Maintenance (O&M) Costs

| Personnel salaries per year [$] | 963,000 |
| Facility costs per year [$] | 250,000 |
| Machine O&M costs per year [$] | 68,417 |
| Rolling stock O&M costs per year [$] | 748,380 |
| Transportation and collection costs [$] | 3,684,000 |
| **Yearly O&M costs [$]** | **5,713,797** |
| **Overall O&M costs (10 years) [$]** | **57,137,976** |

Summarizing both additional investment and operating and maintenance costs, total additional costs are **$61,087,976**. Since 100,000 t of plastic waste must be handled per year in this new facility area (to gain 15,000 t of PET waste, around 100,000 t of plastic waste has to be sorted), the additional costs of 1 t of plastic waste are **$61.09**.

Knowing that the revenues of recycling 1 t of plastic waste are **$146.94** and the costs for further processing the plastic waste are **$72.37**, the profitability of this optimization is calculated in Table 6.4.

**Table 6.4** Total Profit per Ton of Plastics Recycled

| Revenues per ton of plastics recycled [$/t] | 146.94 |
| Sorting [$] | 61.09 |
| PET processing [$] | 72.37 |
| **Profit per ton of plastics recycled [$/t]** | **13.48** |
Table 8.12  Economic Analysis of Waste-to-Energy Plant: Average Lower Heating Value (LHV) of Municipal Solid Waste

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Waste</th>
<th>LHV [MJ/kg]</th>
<th>% in Waste [%]</th>
<th>Total [MJ/kg]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Paper</td>
<td>19.12</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>4.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metals</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>9.40</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plastics</td>
<td>36.16</td>
<td>13.20</td>
<td>4.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rubber and Leather</td>
<td>31.28</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Textiles</td>
<td>16.05</td>
<td>6.30</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wood</td>
<td>11.63</td>
<td>6.70</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food</td>
<td>6.05</td>
<td>15.20</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yard Trimmings</td>
<td>6.98</td>
<td>13.10</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>21.05</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total [MJ/kg]</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>14.98</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8.13  Economic Analysis of Waste-to-Energy (WTE) Plant: Tipping Fee

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Number of WTE Plants</th>
<th>Average WTE Tipping Fee [$/t]</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alabama</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>25.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>64.00</td>
<td>448.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>52.92</td>
<td>635.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>64.00</td>
<td>64.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>69.00</td>
<td>483.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>55.00</td>
<td>495.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Hampshire</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>69.00</td>
<td>138.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>85.00</td>
<td>425.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>72.34</td>
<td>723.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>98.00</td>
<td>294.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>51.00</td>
<td>102.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>59</td>
<td>3,832.44</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Overall Average Tipping Fee</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>64.96</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8.3 Economic Analysis of Recycling

Table 8.14  Economic Analysis of Plastics Recycling: Overall Assumptions

| Percentage of PET in Plastic Waste [%] | 14.16 |
| Average Price of Recycled PET Pellets [$/lb] | 0.58  |
| Price of Recycled PET Pellets [$/kg] | 1.26  |
| Electricity Price [$/kWh] | 0.1027 |
| Diesel Price [$/gallon] | 2.198 |
| Diesel Price [$/l] | 0.5807 |
| Water Price [$/gallon] | 0.015 |
| Water Price [$/l] | 0.0040 |
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